To: Jun Ng[Ng.Jun@epamail.epa.gov]; Mojica, Sandy[Mojica.Sandy@epa.gov]

From: DeMeo, Sharon M.

Sent: Thur 4/17/2014 3:58:36 PM Subject: Other changes to web page

Here are the changes to the text boxes. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Much Appreciated!

Sharon DeMeo

US EPA – Region 1

Phone: 617-918-1995

Fax: 617-918-0995

Regarding the summary in the text box at the top of the main web page, please replace with the following:

The Draft Permit for Merrimack Station that was issued September 30, 2011, addressed wastewater discharges from several different aspects of the power plant's operations. One important part of the permit set limits for potential wastewater discharges from the facility's flue gas desulfurization (FGD) air pollution control system. The Draft Permit set limits for this wastewater based on the use of the Station's proposed "primary treatment system" for FGD wastewater, plus the addition of a biological treatment stage. Since issuance of the Draft Permit, Region 1 learned that PSNH had, of its own accord, installed and begun operating not only the primary treatment system, but also an FGD secondary wastewater treatment system (SWWTS) consisting of a falling-film evaporator (or brine concentrator) and forced circulation crystallizers capable of operating as a zero liquid discharge (ZLD) system. Region 1 also received a number of comments on the suitability of VCE for Merrimack Station during the 2011 Draft Permit public comment period and has gathered additional information in response to those comments. After considering all this material in connection with an evaluation of the Best Available Technology (BAT) factors specified in the statute and regulations, Region 1 has concluded on a site-specific basis, based on its Best Professional Judgment (BPJ), that the combined primary and secondary FGD WWTS currently operating at the Facility is the BAT for treating the FGD wastewater generated at Merrimack Station.

The particular provisions of the September 2011 Draft Permit now being reconsidered and revised are the effluent limits and reporting requirements for Outfall 003C at Part I.A.4 and for Outfall 003A at Part I.A.2. Region 1 has prepared a Revised Draft Permit and Fact Sheet that includes certain changes to these provisions from the September 30, 2011, Draft Permit. In addition, the Region has compiled the administrative record associated with this action, which is available at the link near the

bottom of this page. (Please note that records after #840 have been added since the Draft Permit was issued September 30, 2011). The Agency is now seeking comment on the Revised Draft Permit provisions as well as on Region 1's analysis supporting the revisions. The Region is providing a two-stage comment period. The first stage is an initial 60 day comment period. The second stage is an added 35 days in order that any interested person may file a written response to the material filed by any other person.

Regarding the Admin Record text box, please replace with:

1. Note: The electronic index to the Administrative Record provided below includes a general "thumbnail" description of each document. EPA has endeavored to make these descriptions accurate and informative, but the descriptions may necessarily leave out some information and could possibly contain unintended errors. Please click on the descriptions provided to review the documents themselves.